UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA INDIANAPOLIS DIVISION

FRANK DEGRAW, TRUSTEE, and)
INDIANA LABORERS WELFARE,)
PENSION AND TRAINING FUNDS,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
V.) CAUSE NO.:1:13-cv-1427
)
TROTTER DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC,)
)
Defendant.	

COMPLAINT

Come now the Plaintiffs, by their attorney, and for a cause of action against the Defendant allege and show to the Court as follows:

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is founded upon Section 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, (29 U.S.C. §1132); and Section 209(a) and (b) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. §1059(a) and (b)); in that the Plaintiffs are aggrieved by the Defendant's violation of collective bargaining agreements, Trust Plans and Trust Agreements and the Defendant's continued refusal to comply with certain terms of collective bargaining agreements and the trust agreements of the Plaintiffs, thereby violating the provisions of employee benefit plans.
- 2. Plaintiffs Indiana Laborers Welfare, Pension and Training Funds (hereinafter "Funds"), are employee benefit plans, within the meaning of Sections 3(1)(2)(3)(21) and 502 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. §1002(1)(2)(3)(21) and

- 1132). The Funds maintain their office and principal place of business in Terre Haute, Indiana.
- 3. Frank DeGraw, a Trustee of the aforementioned Funds, is a fiduciary within the meaning of Section 3(21) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. §1002) and brings this action on behalf of the participants and Trustees of the Plaintiff Funds.
- 4. Defendant Trotter Development Group, LLC is an employer existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Indiana, with its offices and principal place of business in Indianapolis, Indiana.
- 5. Defendant Trotter Development Group, LLC is an employer and is a party in interest in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 3(5)(11)(12) and (14) and 209 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. §1002(5)(11)(12) and (14) and §1059).
- 6. Defendant Trotter Development Group, LLC is a party to and has agreed to abide by the terms of collective bargaining agreements between itself and LIUNA, State of Indiana District Council.
- 7. The executed collective bargaining agreement contains provisions whereby Defendant agreed to make contributions on behalf of its employees to the Funds.
- 8. The aforementioned collective bargaining agreement also requires that the Defendant, in order to secure its obligation to make contributions to the Plaintiffs, secure and maintain a bond, for their benefit, in an amount as stipulated by its agreement.

- 9. Defendant has failed to make timely contributions to Plaintiff Funds for and on behalf of its employees and has failed to perform its obligations under the terms and conditions of the aforementioned collective bargaining agreement and Trust Agreement of the Funds, and is additionally in violation of Section 515 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended.
- 10. Despite demands that Defendant perform its contractual obligations, Defendant has failed, neglected, omitted and refused to make those payments.
- 11. Against the Defendant's refusal to comply with the terms and conditions of its collective bargaining agreement with the Union, the Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law, and unless the Defendant is mandatorily compelled to comply with said documents, the Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable harm.
- 12. Plaintiffs are entitled by the terms of Section 502(g)(2) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1974, as amended by the Multi-Employer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980, to payment of the delinquent contributions, liquidated damages, and interest, as provided in Section 502(g)(2) (29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2)) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.
- 13. Plaintiffs are entitled by the terms of Section 502(g)(2) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended by the Multi-Employer Pension Plan Amendments Act of 1980, to their attorney fees incurred in bringing this action against the Defendant.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demand the following relief:

1. A preliminary injunction enjoining Defendant from failing, neglecting, and

refusing to make its required contributions to the Funds as they fall due.

2. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant from failing neglecting, and

refusing to make its required contributions to the Funds as they fall due.

3. A judgment on behalf of Plaintiffs in the amount of Defendant's delinquencies

to said Funds, plus liquidated damage and interest assessments, plus reasonable attorneys'

fees and costs of this action, all as provided in 29 U.S.C. §1132(g)(2).

4. An order requiring Defendant to obtain a bond securing its obligations to the

Plaintiffs, as required by terms of its collective bargaining agreement.

5. That the Court retain jurisdiction of this cause pending compliance with its

Orders.

6. For such other, further, or different relief as the Court may deem just and

proper.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Frederick W. Dennerline, III

Frederick W. Dennerline, III

FILLENWARTH DENNERLINE GROTH & TOWE, LLP

Suite 200, 429 East Vermont Street

Indianapolis, IN 46202

Telephone: (317) 353-9363

Fax: (317) 351-7232

E-mail: fdennerline@fdgtlaborlaw.com

p/290/sc